

# Specific Learning Disabilities

# **Evaluation Procedures**

# August 2010

## **Table of Contents**

	Page
Federal, State and GOISD Guidelines and Recommendations	3
Determining SLD	7
Documentation for SLD Determination	15
SLD Evaluation Resources	17
Suggested Questions for Parent Input for Initial Evaluation	18
Suggested Questions for Parent Input for Re-evaluation	20
Teacher Anecdotal Reports (Secondary/Intermediate, Elementary)	22
Classroom / Academic Observation Checklists (Preschool/Kindergarten, Grades 1-4,	24
5- 8. 9-12)	
Worksheet for Charting Patterns of Strengths and Weaknesses, Suggested Guidelines	35
for Determining Strengths and Weakness, and Examples of Published Assessments	
Worksheet to Determine Appropriate Instruction	37
Exclusionary Factors Worksheet for SLD	38
Initial Evaluation Report for SLD ó Example	39
Re-evaluation Report - Example	45
SLD Eligibility Recommendation Form for MET Report	48

## **Evaluation for Specific Learning Disabilities**

Recent changes in federal special education rules and regulations indicate that states may consider the use of an RtI model for identifying students

mhtml:file://H:\My Documents\Special Education\SLD\_Manual\_GOISD[1]\_doc.mht

with specific learning disabilities as an alternative to the discrepancy model. Language from IDEA-2004, §300.307, states, in part:

(a) A State must adopt ... criteria for determining whether a child has a specific learning disability . . . In addition, the criteria adopted by the State –

(1) Must not require the use of severe discrepancy between intellectual ability and achievement for determining whether a child has a specific learning disability...

(2) Must permit the use of a process based on the child's response to scientific, research-based intervention; ...

Language from IDEA implementing regulation 34 CFR 300.309 Determining the existence of a specific learning disability, states, in part:

(a) The group described in...300.306 may determine that a child has a specific learning disability...if

(1) The child does not achieve adequately for the child's age or to meet State-approved grade-level standards in one or more of the following areas, when provided with learning experiences and instruction appropriate for the child's age or State-approved grade-level standards...

(2)(i) The child does not make sufficient progress to meet age or State-approved grade-level standards in one or more of the areas...when using a process based on the child's response to scientific, research-based intervention; or

(2)(ii) The child exhibits a pattern of strengths and weaknesses in performance, achievement, or both, relative to age, State-approved grade-level standards, or intellectual development determined by the group to be relevant to the identification of a specific learning disability...

In September, 2008, Michigan finalized rules to address the requirement that states adopt criteria for determining specific learning disability. Language mirrors federal language in §300.8(b)(10):

R 340.1713 Specific learning disability defined; determination.

Rule 13. (1) "Specific learning disability" means a disorder in 1 or more of the basic psychological processes involved in understanding or in using language, spoken or written, that may manifest itself in the imperfect ability to listen, think, speak, read, write, spell, or to do mathematical calculations, including conditions such as perceptual disabilities, brain injury, minimal brain dysfunction, dyslexia, and developmental aphasia. Specific learning disability does not include learning problems that are primarily the result of visual, hearing, or motor disabilities, of cognitive impairment, of emotional impairment, of autism spectrum disorder, or of environmental, cultural, or economic disadvantage.

- (2) In determining whether a student has a learning disability, the state shall:
- (a) Not require the use of a severe discrepancy between intellectual ability and achievement.
- (b) Permit the use of a process based on the child's response to scientific, research-based intervention.
- (c) Permit the use of other alternative research-based procedures.

R 340.1713 also adds the following language that mirrors federal language in §300.309:

(3) A determination of learning disability shall be based upon a comprehensive evaluation by a multidisciplinary evaluation team, which shall include at least both of the following:

(a) The student's general education teacher or, if the student does not have a general education teacher, a general education teacher qualified to teach a student of his or her age or, for a child of less than school age, an individual qualified by the state educational agency to teach a child of his or her age.

(b) At least 1 person qualified to conduct individual diagnostic examinations of children, such as a school psychologist, an authorized provider of speech and language under R 340.1745(d), or a teacher consultant.

In a letter of clarification to the field, dated January 22, 2009, Dr. Jacquelyn Thompson, Michigan Director of the Office of Special Education and Early Intervention Services, indicates three processes that may be used by the field in the evaluation of Specific Learning Disabilities including the following:

- 1. **Consideration of a severe discrepancy**, "but only as one part of a full and individual evaluation. Severe discrepancy may never be used alone to determine a student eligible as a student with a SLD."
- 2. Response to scientific, research based intervention. Dr. Thompson notes that, "depending on the local district's practice, this process may have a variety of names; e.g., Instructional Consultation Team, Response to Intervention, Michigan's Integrated Behavior and Learning Support Initiative. The Michigan Department of Education (MDE) does not mandate any specific scientific, research-based intervention process."
- 3. Pattern of strengths and weaknesses. "The MDE does not mandate any specific process to determine a pattern of strengths and weaknesses. Any determination of SLD requires a full comprehensive evaluation according to the evaluation procedures in the federal regulations at §300.301 ó §300.311, including those particular to a student suspected of having a SLD in §300.307 ó §300.311.ö

#### **GOISD** Recommendation:

Given federal and stated guidelines to the field, Michigan districts have options for establishing eligibility for students suspected of having a specific learning disability. As part of a comprehensive evaluation it is recommended that the evaluation team:

- Use the data from a response to intervention (RtI) process in its consideration of eligibility for SLD; or when RtI is not implemented,
- Use assessment results to determine whether a child exhibits a pattern of strengths and weaknesses in performance, achievement, or both, relative to age, State-approved grade-level standards, or intellectual development. The use of a severe discrepancy between achievement and intellectual ability may be used as a portion of the data to establish a pattern of strengths and weaknesses.

#### Comprehensive Evaluation

Federal commentary makes it clear that RtI is only one component of the evaluation. oDetermining why a child has not responded to researchbased interventions requires a comprehensive evaluation, ö and cites §300.304(b) which requires that a special education evaluation include a variety of assessments.

An RTI process does not replace the need for a comprehensive evaluation. A public agency must use a variety of data gathering tools and strategies even if an RTI process is used. The results of an RTI process may be one component of the information reviewed as part of the evaluation procedures required under §§ 300.304 and 300.305. As required in § 300.304(b), consistent with section 614(b)(2) o the Act, an evaluation must include a variety of assessment tools and strategies and cannot rely on any single procedure as the sole criterion for determining eligibility for special education and related services.

#### 71 Fed Reg. 46,648

#### Comprehensive assessment requires:

- "a variety of assessment tools and strategies to gather relevant functional, developmental and academic information about the child, including information provided by the parent," §300.304(b)(1) • "assess[ment] in all areas related to suspected disability, including, if appropriate, health, vision, hearing, social/emotional status; general
- intelligence; academic performance; communicative status; motor abilities; " §300.304(c)(4)
- "assessment sufficiently comprehensive to identify all of the child's special education and related services needs, whether or not commonly linked to the disability category in which the child has been classified." \$300.304(c)(6)
- "information from a variety of sources, including aptitude and achievement tests, parent input and teacher recommendations, as well as information about the child's physical condition, social or cultural background, and adaptive behavior; " \$300.306(c)(1)

The evaluation for SLD eligibility is completed for two purposes, to clarify eligibility and to define the starting point for further interventions. Words in the federal regulations include õrelevantö, õif appropriateö, indicating the need for evaluation planning to determine the scope of an evaluation which must include õruling inö:

- · Inadequate achievement and progress in age and/or grade level content
- Adverse impact to the point that the child requires special education and/or related services.

#### and õruling outö:

- Inadequate achievement due to other disabilities/factors
- · Inadequate achievement due to lack of appropriate instruction

The evaluation provides the basis for further instruction by establishing the present level of academic achievement and functional performance (PLAAFP), which includes:

- 1. Data and other specific descriptive information on the student current academic performance, indicating both strengths and areas of need.
- 2. Data and other specific descriptive information on functional skills, including behavior, communication, motor, daily living or other skills related to school and age appropriate activities.
- 3. Defining specific needs that are a priority for the studentos learning or support in the general education program.
- Describing the impact of the characteristics of the studentos disability on his/her performance and access to the general education curriculum and setting which will lead to decisions on supports, accommodations and modifications that are necessary for the students participation in general education instruction and activities.

#### Initiation of Evaluations and Timelines:

Michigan rules, which specify 30 school days from consent to holding an IEP meeting, must be followed unless the parent and district mutually agree to extend the timeline. This request can be made in the event that the evaluation will address response to intervention after the request for an evaluation.

Further, the district is required to address the question of disability if a student has not made progress after an appropriate period of time with appropriate intervention. The length of time may vary, depending on the circumstances, but the district should not delay unnecessarily once a disability is suspected.

Although extended evaluation timelines may be requested in order to implement appropriate interventions and collect data on the studentøs response, if a parent does not agree to extending the timeline, then the evaluation must proceed and an IEP team meeting convened within the 30 school days allowed under state rules. Whether eligibility can be determined will depend on whether the IEP team has the necessary rule-in, rule-out, and documentation data required for SLD identification.

#### IDEA 2004 Regulation, §300.309- Determining Specific Learning Disability

\$300.309 serves as the key regulation in the framework of determining SLD eligibility and defines elements of the evaluation process.

# I. Establish lack of achievement relative to age or state approved grade level standards, when provided with appropriate learning experiences and instruction.

Evaluation of current data and further evaluation must establish and document:

- Inadequate achievement relative either to age level or grade level standards.
- Appropriate instruction

Federal rule specifies that eligibility evaluation must address the age appropriate instruction that the student has received and the achievement of the student related to grade level standards. Although age is one variable, the emphasis on state approved grade level standards reflects the priority that all instruction for students address grade level content standards.

Reading fluency has been added to the list of potential LD concerns, further defining areas in the reading process. USDOE discussion accompanying issuance of the IDEA 2004 implementing regulations notes that fluency assessments are õvery brief and highly relevant to instructionö. However, USDOE discussion also supports the relevance of standardized testing, stating that, õnothing in the Act or these regulations would preclude the eligibility group from considering results from standardized tests when making eligibility determinations.ö

Although the federal regulations do not define standards for õappropriate instructionö, the USDOE does note that such instruction has the following characteristics:

- Scientifically research based
- · Provided by qualified personnel
- Student progress data is systematically collected and analyzed

#### II. Demonstrate insufficient progress to meet age or grade level standards.

Documentation that the student is not making adequate progress, subsections 2(i) and (ii) may be completed in one of two ways: (1) determine that the student has not responded, despite the provision of high quality, individualized general education instructional interventions, or (2) by demonstrating a pattern of strengths and weaknesses, given appropriate instruction.

#### Response to scientific, research-based intervention (RtI):

The federal regulations do not specify what research based interventions must be used, and leave the State with flexibility to determine criteria to best meet local needs. Resources such as the Florida Center for Reading Research, at: <a href="http://www.ferr.org">www.ferr.org</a>, provide a listing of current research based interventions. Guidance on research based practices may also be found in <a href="http://www.ferr.org">Response to Intervention: Enhancing the Learning of All Children,</a>, published by the Michigan Assoc. of Administrators of Special Education.

Michigan¢s Integrated Behavior and Learning Support Initiative (MiBLSi) is an initiative through the Michigan Department of Education¢s Office of Special Education and Early Intervention Services and helps schools create a õcultureö where staff teaches academic success and behavior success. Gogebic and Ontonagon county schools and districts are implementing RtI, with many districts utilizing MiBLSi training provided by GOISD, with the goal of increasing student reading performance and behavior performance. Staff is trained to:

- 1. Monitor student reading and behavior performance
  - Access dynamic data collection systems that provide staff with performance indicators in reading and behavior that are accurate and timely 6 for example, the School Wide Information System (SWISÎ) and the Dynamic Indicators of Basic Early Literacy Skills (DIBELSÎ).
- 2. Make decisions based on data
  - o Develop and implement reading and behavior interventions using student performance indicators
  - o Evaluate intervention effectiveness through ongoing data collection and progress monitoring

#### Pattern of strengths and weaknesses:

Determining a pattern of strengths and weaknesses is the second option described by federal regulations. This option, although not required, may be used in districts when an RtI option is not appropriate or feasible. RtI often requires that the district systematically implement the methodology over a period of time, establish district norms and determine procedures for providing Tier 2 and 3 interventions. At this time, RtI is not possible for all areas included in the SLD definition. Also, there may be students arriving in the district in need of evaluation who have not had the opportunity to be evaluated with reference to a systematic intervention process.

The pattern of strengths and weaknesses alternative is based on assessment and a review of achievement scores and performance in a variety of academic areas, with documentation of patterns of strength as compared to other areas where the student demonstrates a pattern of significant academic concerns, relative to the childs expected abilities. Assessment documents the students performance and achievement related to Michigan standards and benchmarks either at the students age level, or assigned grade level. As with RtI, assessment includes review of research based interventions and student achievement on State approved content.

Districts must establish local standards for implementing either an RtI process or establishing a pattern of strengths and weaknesses (PSW). Gogebic-Ontonagon ISD has provided an RtI structure, as defined in this manual. Parameters for assessment results are provided as a way of standardizing PSW decision making within and among school districts. Local guidelines for PSW are included in the following documents:

- 1. Local Guidance for Determining SLD Eligibility: Using -patterns of strengths and weaknessesø
- 2. Charting Patterns of Strengths and Weaknesses

This guidance is based on the following assumptions:

- All children must be offered age appropriate instruction that is directly related to grade level content expectations.
- Even though the school may not have the capacity to fully implement a RtI process, interventions are most appropriately offered based on a three-tier model.
- Establishing a pattern of strengths and weaknesses involves classroom performance documentation along with curriculum-based, criterion-referenced and/or norm referenced academic/intellectual assessment.

#### Selecting Response to Intervention (RtI) or Patterns of Strengths and Weaknesses (PSW)

As noted above, decision-making on which process to use to document achievement and learning needs will depend on district policies, status of RtI implementation; staff training, specific areas of concern, length of time the child has attended district programming, and grade level interventions. The following rules are suggested in determining whether to use RtI or PSW in establishing achievement levels and documenting interventions:

Rule #1: If you have the ability to use the RtI option, this is the default approach

- District policies support the use of the RtI as an intervention approach; and,
- District implementation reflects the 8 core principles (see manual introduction).

#### Rule #2: Use PSW if:

- RtI is not being used or is not fully implemented in the skill area of suspected disability
- RtI is not being used or is not fully implemented at the childøs grade level

• The parent requests a special education evaluation and will not extend timelines to accommodate recommended implementation of tier interventions and timelines.

Once a decision has been made as to which process to use, this choice by the IEP team should be reflected on the Evaluation Plan.

#### III: Rule out of exclusionary factors

The evaluation team must address and rule out other factors as the primary cause of the childs learning difficulties, including:

- Inadequate achievement due to other disabilities/factors
- Inadequate achievement due to lack of appropriate instruction

#### Presence of other disabilities/factors

*Visual, hearing or motor disability-* Ruling these areas out as the primary cause of underachievement may involve district screening results; teacher and parent input; or evaluation by a family physician, ophthalmologist, optometrist, audiologist, otolaryngologist, or neurologist, OT, PT or other evaluation staff.

*Cognitive Impairment-* The evaluation report must include data that would allow the IEP Team to determine whether cognitive impairment was the primary cause of the underachievement and either lack of progress or pattern of weakness. This could be done by affirmatively assessing for cognitive impairment or by record review information that would be contraindicative of such an impairment.

*Emotional Impairment*- The evaluation report must include data that would allow the IEP Team to determine whether an emotional impairment is the primary cause of the students learning problems. Again, this could be done by affirmatively assessing for emotional impairment or by record review information that would be contraindicative of such an impairment or such a primary role.

*Cultural, environmental or economic disadvantage-* The evaluation must establish the primary cause of the disability and must rule out causative factors not related to disability, such as:

- Poor school attendance or frequent school changes causing lack of appropriate instruction due to inconsistent instruction or gaps in learning.
- Family stressors, including pressures from family situations or poverty should be eliminated as factors causing interruption or interference in learning.
- Cultural or ethnic background different from the norm or majority group should be considered both as a factor which may cause interference in approaching learning or as a factor in the perceptions of those who work with the child.

*Limited English proficiency*- English language learners who do not achieve commensurate with other children their age, despite research based interventions may be referred for special education evaluation and services. However, assessment must consider the childøs cultural and language differences:

- · Selection must be non-discriminatory with respect to race and culture
- Administration must be in the childøs native language or in a form that will best estimate the childøs abilities.

Lack of Appropriate Instruction:

Federal guidance indicates that ochildren should not be identified as having a disability before concluding that their performance deficits are not the result of a lack of appropriate instruction. Although the child is not required to have any *specific* research based instruction prior to identification, the evaluation team must be able to conclude that lack of appropriate instruction is not the determinant factor in the child surface underachievement. The student may be provided with interventions either prior to the evaluation or as a part of the evaluation process.

SLD eligibility requirements specify the need for documentation of appropriate instruction in the regular education setting by qualified personnel. The regulation notes that data may describe instruction prior to, or as part of the referral process.

Examples of appropriate instruction documents:

- · Chronology of studentøs educational history
  - o Teacher anecdotal records
  - $\circ$  Grade retentions
  - o Attendance
  - Grades
- General Education Curriculum
  - o 5 essential components of reading- phonemic awareness, phonics knowledge, fluency, vocabulary and comprehension
  - o Math- conceptual understanding, computational and procedural fluency, fact fluency and problem solving skills.
  - o Districtøs curriculum is aligned with state standards
- Fidelity of instruction
  - $\circ$  80% of students within the classroom are meeting state/district standards
  - o Differentiated instruction, universal design principles
  - Multi-tiered intervention practices
  - o Individual instructional practices
  - o Staff training in effective instructional programs / strategies.
  - o Observation of classroom instruction or the use of checklists by teachers, peers or content specialists

Intervention during evaluation demonstrates:

- · Research-based intervention- nature, frequency and duration
- · Highly qualified teachers
- · Results of interventions

New to the SLD regulations is the requirement to provide *data based documentation of repeated assessments of achievement*, with the following characteristics:

- Reasonable intervals
- Formal assessment of student progress during instruction
- Provided to parents

NOT Just the MEAP: Language regarding reasonable intervals implies that yearly MEAP assessment would not meet this criterion. Rather, the district will want to demonstrate practices that might include universal screening, curriculum based measurement, and progress monitoring, the results of which are shared periodically with the parent.

It should also be noted that, although \$300.309(b) refers specifically to reading and math, the regulations also require that the student be provided with *learning experiences and instruction appropriate for the child's age or State-approved grade level standards* in all areas being considered for SLD eligibility. Best practice would indicate that the documentation required in \$300.309(b) would also apply to instruction in the other areas of eligibility.

#### IDEA 2004 Regulation, §300.310, Observation

When considering the presence of a Specific Learning Disability, the district must ensure that observations document the student*ø*s academic performance and behavior in the area(s) of difficulty.

During the evaluation planning process, the evaluation team and the parent must determine whether the documentation of observations will include information gained prior to the initiation of the formal evaluation or if observations will be conducted as part of the evaluation plan. Observations must occur in the regular classroom, specific to the academic performance area of concern. Exceptions to observations occurring in the regular classroom include:

- Students who are out of school due to disciplinary or health reasons
- Older students who had previous eligibility but have been out of school for an extended period of time.
- Younger students who are not yet attending K-12 programming.

Regulations specify that, given exceptional circumstances, the child must be observed in an age appropriate environment.

## § 300.311 Documentation for Specific Learning Disability Determination

§ 300.311 provides a checklist for required elements of a written report documenting the evaluation teamos decision regarding eligibility of SLD.

Documentation must include:

- 1. Statement of eligibility, or lack of eligibility, for specific learning disability
- 2. Basis for the determination of eligibility
- 3. Assurance that during the determination process the district:
  - a. Collected information from a variety of sources, including aptitude and achievement tests, parent input and teacher recommendations, information about the childøs physical condition, social or cultural background and adaptive behavior.
  - b. Documented and carefully considered information obtained from a variety of sources.
- 4. Relevant behavior noted in observations, and the relationship of the behavior to the childøs academic functioning.
- 5. Relevant medical findings.
- 6. Achievement measured to age expectations or state-approved grade level standards.
- 7. Progress monitoring related to age or grade level standards.

or

- 8. Determination of a pattern of strengths and weaknesses in performance, achievement or both, relative to age, State-approved grade level standards or intellectual development.
- 9. Determination of exclusionary factors
- 10. If the child participated in a process that assesses the child¢ response to scientific, research-based (or, if necessary, best practice) interventions, documentation of:
  - a. Instructional strategies utilized
  - b. Student-centered data collected
  - c. Parent notification about:
    - i. State policies regarding RtI criteria- data and services requirements (Note: the SLD rule, R 340.1713, is Michiganø policy.)
    - ii. Strategies used for increasing the studentøs rate of learning
    - iii. Parent right to request an evaluation.
- 11. Evaluation team members and parent must certify whether the report reflects the members conclusion.
  - a. Members in disagreement must submit a separate statement presenting dissenting conclusions.

#### **SLD Evaluation Resources**

Dogo

	Page
Suggested Questions for Parent Input for Initial Evaluation and Re-evaluation	18
Teacher Anecdotal Reports (Secondary/Intermediate, Elementary)	22
Classroom / Academic Observation Checklists (Preschool/Kindergarten, Grades 1-4, 5-8. 9-12)	24
Worksheet for Charting Patterns of Strengths and Weaknesses, Suggested Guidelines for Determining Strengths and Weakness, and Examples of Published Assessments	35
Worksheet to Determine Appropriate Instruction	37
Exclusionary Factors Worksheet for SLD	38
Initial Evaluation Report for SLD 6 Example	39
Re-evaluation Report Example	45
SLD Eligibility Recommendation Form for MET Report	48

## **Teacher Anecdotal Report – Secondary/Intermediate**

Student S Iva	me:	Teacher:			
Grade:			to Date:		
Part 1 – Acad	lemic information. Check one iter	n.			
The stud	ent currently meets or exceeds the minim	al academic expectati	ons of this class	S.	
Current lette	er grade or percent:				
The stud	ent does not currently meet the minimal a	cademic expectation	s of this class.		
Current lette	er grade or percent:				
Check cor	cerns in the following areas (checl	k all that apply).			
Reading	g decoding Reading fluency Reading	eading vocabulary			
Reading	comprehension Writing meaningf	ıl, accurate sentences	/passages		
Writing	mechanics Spelling Math con	putation			
Math p	oblem solving Math facts Spe	aking skills			
Underst	anding directions, lecture, discussions or	demonstrations (liste	ning comprehe	nsion)	
Other /	Comments:		•		
Part 2 – Beha	vioral information – Rate each ite	m.			
		Usually	Sometimes	Seldom/Never	N/A
	On time for class	Usually	Sometimes	Seldom/Never	N/A
	On time for class Brings necessary materials	Usually	Sometimes	Seldom/Never	N/A
		·	Sometimes	Seldom/Never	N/A
	Brings necessary materials	·	Sometimes	Seldom/Never	N/A
	Brings necessary materials Turns in completed assignments	·	Sometimes	Seldom/Never	N/A
	Brings necessary materials Turns in completed assignments Turns in assignments on time Willing to make-up assignments Follows teacher¢s directions	·	Sometimes	Seldom/Never	N/A
	Brings necessary materials Turns in completed assignments Turns in assignments on time Willing to make-up assignments Follows teacher¢s directions Attends to lecture/discussion	·	Sometimes	Seldom/Never	N/A
	Brings necessary materials Turns in completed assignments Turns in assignments on time Willing to make-up assignments Follows teacherøs directions Attends to lecture/discussion Participates in class discussions	·	Sometimes	Seldom/Never	N/A
	Brings necessary materials Turns in completed assignments Turns in assignments on time Willing to make-up assignments Follows teacherøs directions Attends to lecture/discussion Participates in class discussions Stays on-task during work time	·	Sometimes	Seldom/Never	N/A
	Brings necessary materials Turns in completed assignments Turns in assignments on time Willing to make-up assignments Follows teacherøs directions Attends to lecture/discussion Participates in class discussions Stays on-task during work time Appropriate peer interactions	·	Sometimes	Seldom/Never	N/ <i>A</i>
	Brings necessary materials Turns in completed assignments Turns in assignments on time Willing to make-up assignments Follows teacherøs directions Attends to lecture/discussion Participates in class discussions Stays on-task during work time	·	Sometimes	Seldom/Never	N/A
Part 3 – Stud	Brings necessary materials Turns in completed assignments Turns in assignments on time Willing to make-up assignments Follows teacherøs directions Attends to lecture/discussion Participates in class discussions Stays on-task during work time Appropriate peer interactions				N/ <i>A</i>
Part 3 – Stud	Brings necessary materials Turns in completed assignments Turns in assignments on time Willing to make-up assignments Follows teacher& directions Attends to lecture/discussion Participates in class discussions Stays on-task during work time Appropriate peer interactions Appropriate teacher interactions				N/4
Part 3 – Stud	Brings necessary materials Turns in completed assignments Turns in assignments on time Willing to make-up assignments Follows teacher& directions Attends to lecture/discussion Participates in class discussions Stays on-task during work time Appropriate peer interactions Appropriate teacher interactions				N/ <i>A</i>

Teacher's Signature: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Classroom / Academic Observation Checklist – Pre-school / Kindergarten			
Student:	Grade:	Teacher/Location:	
Observer:	Date:	Time:	Activity:

## SLD\_Manual\_GOISD[1].doc

Directions: First, identify the area(s) of concern in the box below. Your observation should focus on the identified area(s). During the observation, place a check mark next to the behaviors that are listed within each domain that correlates with the noted area(s) of concern. These checklists are not exhaustive, so you may want make notes regarding other additional behavior observed, including strengths and behaviors which may interfere with the student learning. In order to obtain a full and accurate picture of the student performance, it may be necessary to observe the student more than once, possibly in different settings and at different times of the day. If a child 3-5 years old is not yet in a public school program, observations should be conducted in the child natural environment or early intervention program.

Check area(s) of concern for SLD evaluation:				
Oral Expression Basic Reading Reading Comprehension Math Calculation				
Listening Comprehension Reading Fluency Written Expression Math Problem Solving				

#### Academic Skills

Language (Oral Expression, Listening Comprehension, Basic Reading - Phonemic Awareness) Student has:		
Grade appropriate skills	Difficulty re-telling what has just been said	
Difficulty modulating voice (e.g., too soft, too loud)	Slow/halting speech, using fillers (e.g., uh, you know, um)	
Difficulty naming people or objects	Difficulty with pronouncing words	
Difficulty staying on topic	Difficulty rhyming	
Difficulty in explaining things (e.g. feelings, ideas) due	Difficulty with phonemic awareness tasks (e.g., saying	
to lack of vocabulary, articulation, and/or grammar skills	initial sounds, saying sounds of words, saying words fast)	
Difficulty understanding instructions or directions	Limited interest in books or stories	

Notes: \_

 Reading (Basic Reading, Reading Comprehension, Reading Fluency) - - Student has:

 Grade appropriate skills
 Difficulty reading short, irregular sight words

 Difficulty identifying sounds
 Difficulty retelling what has been read

 Difficulty blending sounds into words
 Difficulty with retention of new vocabulary

 Difficulty reading short, regular words
 Difficulty demonstrating comprehension of sentences/stories

Notes: \_

Written Language (Written Expression) Student has	
Grade appropriate skills	Difficulty with drawing familiar shapes
Difficulty with holding writing instruments	Difficulty with naming, copying or writing letters
Difficulty copying / tracing	Frequent letter, number, and symbol reversals

Notes:

Preschool / Kindergarten - Pg. 2

Math (Math Calculation, Math Problem Solving) Student has:		
Grade appropriate skills	Difficulty in recognizing numbers	
Difficulty counting aloud	Difficulty in comparing relative size (e.g. numbers, objects)	
Difficulty in one-to one correspondence when counting	Difficulty in matching number symbol to corresponding	

objects	objects
Notes:	
	Functional Skills

Social Emotional (All Areas) Student has:	
Age appropriate skills	Difficulty with self-control when frustrated.
Difficulty joining inøand maintaining positive social	Difficulty using other students as models to cue self on
status in a peer group.	appropriate behavior
Difficulty with sharing (e.g., objects, teacherøs time)	

\_\_\_\_

Notes: \_\_\_\_

Attention (All Areas) Student ha	S
Age appropriate skills	Difficulty sustaining attention in work or play activities

Notes: \_\_\_\_

Gross and Fine Motor Skills (All Areas) Student:		
Has age appropriate skills	Demonstrates poor ability to color or write -within the	
	linesø	
Appears awkward and clumsy, dropping, spilling, or	Grasps writing instruments awkwardly, resulting in poor	
knocking things over	handwriting, drawing	
Has trouble with buttons, zippers, hooks, snaps and tying	Has difficulty using small objects or items that demand	
shoes	precision (e.g., legos, puzzle pieces, scissors)	
Creates art work that is immature for age		

Notes: \_\_\_\_\_\_

Classroom / Academic Observation Checklist – Grades 1 - 4

Student: \_\_\_\_\_ Grade: \_\_\_ Teacher/Location: \_\_\_\_

Observer: \_

\_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_\_ Time: \_\_\_\_\_

e: \_\_\_\_\_ Activity: \_\_

Directions: First, identify the area(s) of concern in the box below. Your observation should focus on the identified area(s). During the observation, place a check mark next to the behaviors that are listed within each domain that correlates with the noted area(s) of concern. These checklists are not exhaustive, so you may want make notes regarding other additional behavior observed, including strengths and behaviors which may interfere with the student learning. In order to obtain a full and accurate picture of the student performance, it may be necessary to observe the student more than once, possibly in different settings and at different times of the day.

Check area(s) of concern for SLD evaluation:			
Oral Expression	Basic Reading	Reading Comprehension	Math Calculation
Listening Comprehension	Reading Fluency	Written Expression	Math Problem Solving

#### Academic Skills

Language (Oral Expression, Listening Comprehension, Basic Reading - Phonemic Awareness) Student has:		
Grade appropriate	Difficulty re-telling what has just been said	
Difficulty modulating voice (e.g., too soft, too loud)	Slow/halting speech, using fillers (e.g., uh, you know, um)	
Difficulty naming people or objects	Difficulty with pronouncing words	
Difficulty staying on topic	Difficulty rhyming	
Difficulty in explaining things (e.g. feelings, ideas) due	Difficulty with phonemic awareness tasks (e.g., saying	
to use of imprecise language and limited vocabulary	initial sounds, saying sounds of words, saying words fast)	
Difficulty understanding instructions or directions	Poor grammar or misuses words in conversation	
Inserts malapropisms into conversation	Difficulty with pragmatic skills (e.g., understands the	
	relationship between speaker and listener, staying on topic,	
	making inferences)	

Notes: \_\_\_

Reading (Basic Reading, Reading Comprehension, Reading Flu	ency) Student has:	
Grade appropriate skills	Slow oral reading skills that may interfere with	
	comprehension	
Difficulty identifying sounds, blending sounds into words	Difficulty retelling what has been read	
Difficulty reading regular words	Difficulty with retention of new vocabulary	
Difficulty reading irregular sight words	Difficulty demonstrating comprehension of	
	sentences/stories	
Difficulty when reading sentences; may frequently lose		
place, omit words, insert words, substitute words, guess		
from initial sounds, reverse words, make self-corrections		

Notes: \_\_\_

## Grades 1 to 4 – Pg. 2

Written Language (Written Expression) Student has:		
Grade appropriate skills	Frequent reversals of letters and numbers	
Difficulty with holding writing instruments	Uneven spacing between letters and words, has trouble	
	staying -on the lineø	

10/14/2010

Messy and incomplete writing, with many cross-outs and	Inaccurate copying skills (e.g., confuses similar-looking
erasures	letters and numbers
Difficulty remembering shapes of letters and numbers	Poor and inconsistent spelling
Difficulty proofreading and self-correcting work	Complete written assignments

Notes: \_\_\_

Math (Math Calculation, Math Problem Solving) Student has:		
Grade appropriate skills	Difficulty with comparisons	
Difficulty with simple counting and one-to-one	Difficulty telling time or conceptualizing the passage of	
correspondence between number and objects	time	
Difficulty counting by other numbers (2ø, 5ø, 10ø)	Difficulty solving one-step word problems	
Difficulty estimating quantity (e.g., quantity, value)	Difficulty solving facts and longer operations	

Notes: \_\_\_

#### **Functional Skills**

Social Emotional (All Areas) Student has:		
Age appropriate skills	Difficulty with self-control when frustrated.	
Difficulty -joining inøand maintaining positive social	Difficulty using other students as models to cue self on	
status in a peer group.	appropriate behavior	
Difficulty in -picking upøon other peoples moods/feelings	Difficulty knowing how to share/express feelings	
Difficulty detecting or responding appropriately to teasing	Difficulty dealing with group pressure, embarrassment and	
	unexpected challenges	
Difficulty in understanding the social hierarchy (students,	Difficulty in following directions ó may be a canøt do (lack	
teachers, administrators) of school	of vocabulary) or a wonøt do problem	

Notes: \_\_\_

Attention (All Areas) Student has:	
Age appropriate skills	Difficulty sustaining attention in work or play activities
Difficulty organizing tasks and activities	Difficulty with losing things that are necessary for tasks
Difficulty with remembering daily/routine activities	Difficulty by being easily distracted

Notes: \_

Grades 1 to 4 – Pg. 3

## Gross and Fine Motor Skills (All Areas) - - Student:

Has age appropriate skills	Demonstrates poor ability to color or write -within the	
	linesø	
Appears awkward and clumsy, dropping, spilling, or	Grasps writing instruments awkwardly, resulting in poor	
knocking things over	handwriting, drawing	
Has trouble with buttons, zippers, hooks, snaps and tying	Has difficulty using small objects or items that demand	
shoes	precision (e.g., legos, puzzle pieces, scissors)	
Creates art work that is immature for age	Has limited success with games and activities that demand	
	eye-to-hand coordination (e.g. musical instruments, sports)	

# Notes: \_\_\_

Other Notes or Observed Behavior Student:		
Confuses left and right	Is slow to learn new games and master puzzles	
Often loses things	Has difficulty generalizing or applying skills from one situation to another	

Notes: \_\_\_\_

#### Classroom / Academic Observation Checklist - Grades 5 - 8

Student: \_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_ Grade: \_\_\_\_ Teacher/Location: \_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_ Time: \_\_\_\_\_ Activity: \_\_\_\_\_ Observer: \_\_\_\_

Directions: First, identify the area(s) of concern in the box below. Your observation should focus on the identified area(s). During the observation, place a check mark next to the behaviors that are listed within each domain that correlates with the noted area(s) of concern. These checklists are not exhaustive, so you may want make notes regarding other additional behavior observed, including strengths and behaviors which may interfere with the students learning. In order to obtain a full and accurate picture of the students performance, it may be necessary to observe the student more than once, possibly in different settings and at different times of the day.

Check area(s) of concern for SLD evaluation:			
Oral Expression	Basic Reading	Reading Comprehension	Math Calculation

10/14/2010

Listening	Comprehension

Reading Fluency

Math Problem Solving

## Academic Skills

Written Expression

Language (Oral Expression, Listening Comprehension, Basic Reading - Phonemic Awareness) Student:		
Has grade appropriate skills	Has difficulty re-telling what has just been said	
Has difficulty modulating voice (e.g., too soft, too loud)	Inserts malapropisms into conversation	
Difficulty naming people or objects	Difficulty with pronouncing words	
Difficulty staying on topic	Poor grammar or misuses words in conversation	
Has difficulty in explaining things (e.g. feelings, ideas) due	Difficulty with pragmatic skills (e.g., understands the	
to use of imprecise language and limited vocabulary	relationship between speaker and listener, staying on topic,	
	making inferences)	
Has difficulty understanding instructions or directions	Has slow/halting speech, using fillers (e.g., uh,	
	ven know um)	
	you know, um)	

Notes: \_

Reading (Basic Reading, Reading Comprehension, Reading Flue	ency) Student has:
Grade appropriate skills	Difficulty retelling what has been read
Difficulty reading grade level sight words	Difficulty with retention of new vocabulary
Difficulty reading common words seen in	Difficulty demonstrating literal comprehension of
school/community	sentences/stories
Difficulty when reading sentences; may frequently lose	Difficulty demonstrating inferential comprehension of
place, omit words, insert words, substitute words, guess	stories and connections between stories
from initial sounds, reverse words, make self-corrections	
Slow oral reading skills that may interfere with	
comprehension	

Notes: \_\_\_

## Grades 5 to 8 – Pg. 2

Written Language (Written Expression) Student has:		
Grade appropriate skills	Difficulty proofreading and self-correcting work	
Messy and incomplete writing, with many cross-outs and	Poor and inconsistent spelling	
erasures		
Uneven spacing between letters and words, has trouble	Difficulty developing ideas in writing so written work is	
staying :on the lineø	incomplete and too brief.	
Inaccurate copying skills (e.g., confuses similar-looking	Difficulty completing written assignments	
letters and numbers		

Notes: \_\_\_\_

Math (Math Calculation, Math Problem Solving) Student has:		
Grade appropriate skills	Difficulty with comparisons (e.g., less than, greater than)	
Difficulty counting by single digit numbers, 10% 100%	Difficulty telling time or conceptualizing the passage of time	
Difficulty aligning numbers resulting in computation errors	Difficulty solving word problems	
Difficulty estimating quantity (e.g., quantity, value)	Difficulty solving facts and longer operations	
Difficulty interpreting / creating charts and graphs	Difficulty understanding / applying measurement concepts	

Notes: \_\_\_

**Functional Skills** 

Social Emotional (All Areas) Student has:		
Age appropriate skills	Difficulty with self-control when frustrated.	
Difficulty -joining inøand maintaining positive social	Difficulty using other students as models to cue self on	
status in a peer group.	appropriate behavior	
Difficulty in -picking upøon other peoples moods/feelings	Difficulty knowing how to share/express feelings	
Difficulty detecting or responding appropriately to teasing	Difficulty dealing with group pressure, embarrassment and unexpected challenges	
	Difficulty in following directions 6 may be a can¢t do (lack	
Difficulty in understanding the social hierarchy (students,	Difficulty in following directions o may be a cana do (lack	
teachers, administrators) of school	of vocabulary) or a wongt do problem	
Difficulty with igetting to the pointø(e.g., gets bogged		
down in details in conversation)		

Notes: \_\_\_\_

## Grades 5 to 8 – Pg. 3

Attention (All Areas) Student has:		
Age appropriate skills	Difficulty sustaining attention in work or play activities	
Difficulty organizing tasks and activities	Difficulty with losing things that are necessary for tasks	
Difficulty with remembering daily/routine activities	Difficulty by being easily distracted	
Fails to pay close attention to details or makes careless		
mistakes in schoolwork or other activities		

Notes: \_\_\_\_\_

Gross and Fine Motor Skills (All Areas) Student:	
Has age appropriate skills	Has limited success with games and activities that demand
	eye-to-hand coordination (e.g. musical instruments, sports)
Appears awkward and clumsy, dropping, spilling, or	Grasps writing instruments awkwardly, resulting in poor
knocking things over	handwriting, drawing

Notes: \_

Other Notes or Observed Behavior Student:	
Confuses left and right	Is slow to learn new games and master puzzles
Often loses things	Has difficulty generalizing or applying skills from one situation to another
Finds it hard to judge speed and distance	Has trouble reading charts and maps
Is disorganized and poor at planning	Has difficulty listening and taking notes at the same time

Notes: \_\_\_

Summary:

Classroom / Academic Observation Checklist – Grades 9 - 12			
Student:	Grade:	Teacher/Location: _	
Observer:	Date:	Time:	Activity:

Directions: First, identify the area(s) of concern in the box below. Your observation should focus on the identified area(s). During the observation, place a check mark next to the behaviors that are listed within each domain that correlates with the noted area(s) of concern. These checklists are not exhaustive, so you may want make notes regarding other additional behavior observed, including strengths and behaviors which may interfere with the student/s learning. In order to obtain a full and accurate picture of the

\_\_\_\_\_ Time: \_\_\_\_\_ Activity: \_\_\_

# mhtml:file://H:\My Documents\Special Education\SLD\_Manual\_GOISD[1]\_doc.mht

10/14/2010

students performance, it may be necessary to observe the student more than once, possibly in different settings and at different times of the day.

Check area(s) of concern for SLD evaluation:			
Oral Expression	Basic Reading	Reading Comprehension	Math Calculation
Listening Comprehension	Reading Fluency	Written Expression	Math Problem Solving

#### Academic Skills

Language (Oral Expression, Listening Comprehension, Basic Reading - Phonemic Awareness) Student:		
Has grade appropriate skills	Has difficulty re-telling what has just been said	
Has difficulty modulating voice (e.g., too soft, too loud)	Inserts malapropisms into conversation	
Confuses words with others that sound familiar	Difficulty with pronouncing words	
Difficulty staying on topic	Poor grammar or misuses words in conversation	
Has difficulty in explaining things (e.g. feelings, ideas) due	Difficulty with pragmatic skills (e.g., understands the	
to use of imprecise language and limited vocabulary	relationship between speaker and listener, staying on topic,	
	making inferences)	
Has difficulty understanding instructions or directions	Has slow/halting speech, using fillers (e.g., uh,	
	you know, um)	

Notes: \_\_\_\_\_

Reading (Basic Reading, Reading Comprehension, Reading Fluency) Student has:		
Grade appropriate skills	Difficulty retelling what has been read	
Difficulty reading content area sight words	Difficulty with retention of new vocabulary	
Difficulty reading common words seen in	Difficulty demonstrating literal comprehension of	
school/community	sentences/stories	
Difficulty when reading sentences; may frequently lose	Difficulty demonstrating inferential comprehension of	
place, omit words, insert words, substitute words, guess	stories and connections between stories/ideas	
from initial sounds, reverse words, make self-corrections		
Slow oral reading skills that may interfere with		
comprehension		

Notes: \_\_\_\_\_\_

## Grades 9 to 12 – Pg. 2

Written Language (Written Expression) Student has:		
Grade appropriate skills	Difficulty proofreading and self-correcting work	
Messy and incomplete writing, with many cross-outs and	Poor and inconsistent spelling	
erasures		
Uneven spacing between letters and words, has trouble	Difficulty developing ideas in writing so written work is	
staying ÷on the lineø	incomplete and too brief.	
Inaccurate copying skills (e.g., confuses similar-looking	Difficulty completing written assignments	

letters	and	numbers	

Notes: \_\_\_

\_\_\_\_

Math (Math Calculation, Math Problem Solving) Student has:			
Grade appropriate skills	Difficulty with comparisons (e.g., less than, greater than)		
Difficulty counting by single digit numbers, 10% 100%	Difficulty telling time or conceptualizing the passage of		
	time		
Difficulty aligning numbers resulting in computation errors	Difficulty solving word problems		
Difficulty estimating quantity (e.g., quantity, value)	Difficulty solving facts and longer operations		
Difficulty interpreting / creating charts and graphs	Difficulty understanding / applying measurement concepts		

Notes: \_\_\_\_

#### **Functional Skills**

Social Emotional (All Areas) Student has:		
Age appropriate skills	Difficulty with self-control when frustrated.	
Difficulty joining inøand maintaining positive social	Difficulty using other students as models to cue self on	
status in a peer group.	appropriate behavior	
Difficulty in -picking upøon other peoples moods/feelings	Difficulty knowing how to share/express feelings	
Difficulty detecting or responding appropriately to teasing	Difficulty dealing with group pressure, embarrassment and unexpected challenges	
Difficulty in understanding the social hierarchy (students,	Difficulty in following directions ó may be a canøt do (lack	
teachers, administrators) of school	of vocabulary) or a wongt do problem	
Difficulty with getting to the pointø(e.g., gets bogged		
down in details in conversation)		

Notes: \_\_\_\_

## Grades 9 to 12 - Pg. 3

Attention (All Areas) Student has:		
Age appropriate skills	Difficulty sustaining attention in work or play activities	
Difficulty organizing tasks and activities	Difficulty with losing things that are necessary for tasks	
Difficulty with remembering daily/routine activities	Difficulty by being easily distracted	
Fails to pay close attention to details or makes careless		
mistakes in schoolwork or other activities		

Notes: \_\_\_\_\_

 Gross and Fine Motor Skills (All Areas) - - Student:

 Has age appropriate skills
 Has limited success with games and activities that demand eye-to-hand coordination (e.g. musical instruments, sports)

 Appears awkward and clumsy, dropping, spilling, or knocking things over
 Grasps writing instruments awkwardly, resulting in poor handwriting, drawing

Notes: \_\_\_

Other Notes or Observed Behavior Student:		
Confuses left and right	Is slow to learn new games and master puzzles	
Often loses things	Has difficulty generalizing or applying skills from one situation to another	
Finds it hard to judge speed and distance	Has trouble reading charts and maps	
Is disorganized and poor at planning	Has difficulty listening and taking notes at the same time	

Notes: \_\_\_

Summary:

**Examples of Published Assessments** 

(This is not a complete list)

Assessment Type	Examples:
Progress monitoring, Benchmark screening	DIBELS, AIMSweb, Yearly Progress Pro, EdCheckup
Criterion-referenced assessments	Brigance
Norm-referenced achievement tests	WRMT-2/NU, Key Math 3, KTEA-2, PIAT-2/NU,
	WIAT-2, WJ-3/NU, DAB-3, OWLS, GORT-4, TERA-3, TEMA- 3, TOWL-4, TOLD:P-4, TOLD:I-4, TWS-4, CASL, CELF-4
IQ tests	WISC-4, WAIS-4, KABC-2, KAIT-2, CTONI-2, KBIT-2, WASI
Curriculum assessments aligned with CEøs and classroom instruction	District assessments, Classroom assessments
Adaptive/functional behavior scales	Adaptive Behavior Evaluation Scale-2, Adaptive Behavior Inventory, AAMR Adaptive Behavior Scale-School, Vineland Adaptive Behavior Scales-2

## Worksheet to Determine Appropriate Instruction

	Elements of Instruction	Evidence of Effectiveness	Other Evidence of Effectiveness
What	Documented curriculum	School district has a written curriculum that is aligned with State content expectations.	At least 80% of all of the school districtøs
	Core/intervention curriculum materials	Materials systematically teach and review skills and have scientific- research evidence of effectiveness. (See Worksheet for Evaluating Explicit Instruction and Systematic Curriculum)	students within a grade are meeting district or state standards after being instructed with
	Reading	Instruction emphasizes the following big ideas: phonemic awareness, phonics, fluency, vocabulary and comprehension.	the districtor core instructional program.
	Math	Instruction emphasizes the following big ideas: conceptual understanding, computational and procedural fluency, fact fluency and problem solving skills.	At least 80% of students using an intervention within the school have showed
	Writing	Instruction emphasizes the following areas: basic mechanics and conventions, the content aspects of writing that convey meaning, and higher-level cognitive processes involved in planning and revising.	improved progress. Observations of interventions during the
	Oral Expression	Instruction emphasizes the use of syntax, semantics and morphology.	evaluation period indicate that they are being implemented with fidelity.
	Listening Comprehension	Instruction emphasizes the understanding of syntax, semantics and morphology.	ochig implemented with fidenty.
Who	Teacher Qualifications	Teacher meets NCLB highly qualified standards and has been trained to use the curriculum materials.	
How	Instructional techniques/strategies	When teaching new skills, teacher uses explicit instructional techniques. (See Worksheet for Evaluating Explicit Instruction and Systematic Curriculum)	
	Differentiated/tiered instruction	Students are provided with the appropriate intensity of instruction to meet their individual needs. All students receive core instruction, some students receive targeted, strategic instruction, a few students receive targeted intensive instruction.	
	Fidelity of instructional implementation	There is documentation that the core and intervention programs are implemented with fidelity. (See Program/Instruction Fidelity Checklist)	
	Assessments / Use of data	School screens all students three times a year to assess their progress. Students receiving strategic interventions are assessed weekly/monthly with formative assessments (e.g., progress monitoring tests) and students receiving intensive interventions (through general or special education) are assessed weekly. Schools regularly use assessment data to evaluate their instructional programs and modify accordingly.	

## **EXCLUSIONARY FACTORS WORKSHEET**

Specific Learning Disability

Mark each exclusionary factor. Each factor must be ruled out as the PRIMARY FACTOR for the student's inability to progress in the general education curriculum.	Yes	No
1. Lack of instruction in essential components of reading and math		
Does information obtained during assessment indicate lack of appropriate instruction in reading and math as the determinant factor in this student inability to progress in the general education curriculum?		
Report Page		

nswer the fo	ollowing questions
<ul> <li>Is ther</li> </ul>	e a language other than English spoken by this student?
<ul> <li>Is ther</li> </ul>	e a language other than English spoken by the students home?
	ere any specific dialect or cultural influences that would affect the students ability to speak or
	stand English?
	ed English proficiency the primary reason for the studento deficit scores? Rpt. Page
	Impairment information gathered in assessment that would exclude cognitive impairment as the
	actor for this student's academic deficits.
	u have evidence, through interviews, observations and/or testing that the student has a
-	ve impairment? Report Page
	information gathered in assessment that would exclude emotional impairment as the
	actor for this student's academic deficits.
Does t	he student exhibit emotional difficulties that interfere with learning?
Does t	he student have a medical history and/or school history of emotional difficulties?
ls emo	tional disturbance the primary reason for the student deficit scores? Rpt. Page
	aring, or Motor Impairments
	information gathered in assessment that would exclude vision, hearing, or motor as the determinant factor for this student's academic deficits.
<ul> <li>Do vis</li> </ul>	ion screening results indicate concern?
Do he	aring screening results indicate concern?
<ul> <li>Does t</li> </ul>	he student have a history of significantly delayed motor development?
ls visu	al, hearing or motor disability the primary reason for the studento deficit scores? Rpt. Pg.
6. Environm	ental, Cultural, or Economic Disadvantage
	information gathered in assessment that would exclude environmental, cultural, or economic as the determinant factor for this student's academic deficits.
a. Lack o	f Opportunity
<ul> <li>Does t</li> </ul>	he assessment data indicate that lack of opportunity to learn due to environmental, cultural,
	nomic disadvantage is not the cause of the studentos academic deficits.
	tional Factors
<ul> <li>Does t</li> </ul>	he student attempt classroom assignments and/or homework?
	s the studento performance on grade level during classroom activities?
<ul> <li>Are gr</li> </ul>	oup achievement scores consistent with the student grades?
	nformation gathered indicate lack of motivation is the determinant factor?
	onal Trauma
	e studentos academic performance fallen dramatically within the last 6-12 months?
	e knowledge of any situations within the studento family that would contribute to a drop in mic performance?
Does i	nformation gathered indicate situational trauma is the determinant factor?
d. Attend	-
	he student have a high absentee rate either due to illness, disciplinary issues or other 6? (As dictated by LEA policy)
	nformation gathered indicate that absences are the determinant factor?
	vironmental, cultural or economic disadvantage the primary reason for the students
	mic deficits? Report page

## INITIAL EVALUATION REPORT (SLD) - EXAMPLE

Student:	Date of Birth:
Grade:	Dates of Evaluation:
Teacher:	Age:
School:	Parent/Guardian:
Report Date:	

## 1. Multidisciplinary Evaluation Team Members:

## 2. Reason for Evaluation:

## 3. Purpose of Evaluation:

The evaluation will address five major considerations:

- 1. Assess the students rate of academic progress to meet age or grade level content expectations when using a process based on the students response to scientific, research-based intervention (Rtl) and/or identify a pattern of strengths and weaknesses in the students classroom performance, achievement on tests, or both relative to age, grade level content expectations or intellectual development.
- 2. Use the assessment results to identify if the students performance is inadequate for the students age or to meet grade level content expectations.
- 3. Verify that any underachievement is not primarily the result of a lack of appropriate instruction or due to other factors/disabilities.
- 4. If there is a learning disability determine whether it adversely affects the students educational performance to the point that special education is necessary.
- 5. Use the evaluation information to assist in planning future appropriate interventions.

#### 4. Evaluation Information Used in this Report (Select/add appropriate information and elaborate)

#### Examples:

- 1. State/district achievement test data
- 2. School achievement test data (e.g., screening tests, curriculum tests)
- 3. Classroom data (e.g., grades, work samples, test data)
- 4. Assessments/scales administered for this evaluation.
- 5. Information on the studentos instructional program in reading, math and other areas of concern.
- 6. Information on the intervention programs and strategies used, including teacher qualifications and fidelity assurances.
- 7. Information on repeated progress monitoring or other formative assessments in the area(s) of concern.
- 8. Documentation of parent notification.
- 9. Parent input.
- 10. Teacher reports.
- 11. Medical information.
- 12. Information on cultural, environmental and economic factors that may impact the students academic performance.
- 13. Observation of academic performance and behavior in the area(s) of concern.
- 14. Attendance data and other pertinent school history information.

#### 5. Documentation of parental notification on the evaluation request and their due process rights:

If an Rtl process was used to determine eligibility, the parent had to be also notified about:

- 1. The States policies regarding the amount and nature of student performance data that would be collected and the general education services that would be provided.
- 2. Strategies for increasing the studentos rate of learning.
- 3. The parentos right to request an evaluation.

#### 6. Parent input (possible items to address):

How well the student understands and follows directions in home chores, running errands, conveying phone messages

When is the student most/least attentive

Academic skill performed independently, e.g., reading game directions, earning/handling money, writing messages, computer skills, completing projects

Social, behavioral, adaptive skills

Medical or psychological background

Cultural, ethnic and family background

#### 7. Teacher input (possible items to address):

Participation in class discussion

Ability to attend to task

Academic, social and behavioral strengths/concerns.

Work initiation and completion rates

Other factors that may be enhancing or limiting academic performance

Student interests

## Assurances of adequate learning experiences and instruction in reading, math and other areas of academic concern:

## 8. Core instructional programs

Name of core reading program: Evidence of effectiveness; Schedule for teaching core reading program: Fidelity assurances: Qualifications of teacher:

Name of core math program: Evidence of effectiveness; Schedule for teaching core math program: Fidelity assurances: Qualifications of teacher:

## **Other Core Instruction Programs:**

Subject: Name of core programs: Evidence of effectiveness; Schedule for teaching core written expression programs: Fidelity assurances: Qualifications of teacher:

## 9. Tier 1 Interventions (Differentiated Instruction):

Type of Intervention	Effect of intervention on student <b></b> performance in the classroom	Grade

#### 10. Tier 1 Assessments:

Include or attach any CBM reading, math or writing benchmark assessment results. Other assessment results to include are from district achievement tests, state achievement tests, and report card data.

#### Student's response to scientific, research-based interventions

#### 11. Tier 2 interventions (Show for each academic area of concern.)

Focus area: Studentos grade during intervention: Name of intervention(s): Evidence of effectiveness: Starting date and length of intervention: Schedule for intervention: Intervention group size: Fidelity assurances: Effect of intervention on studentos performance:

## 12. Tier 2 Assessments:

Include or attach any progress monitoring data or intervention program test data.

## 13. Tier 3 Interventions (Show for each academic area of concern.)

Focus area: Students grade during intervention: Name of intervention(s): Evidence of effectiveness: Starting date and length of intervention: Schedule for intervention: Intervention group size: Fidelity assurances: Effect of intervention on students performance:

Focus area: Students grade during intervention: Name of intervention(s): Evidence of effectiveness: Starting date and length of intervention: Schedule for intervention: Intervention group size: Fidelity assurances: Effect of intervention on students performance:

## 14. Tier 3 Assessments:

Include or attach any progress monitoring data or intervention program test data.

## 15. Other Assessment Data (achievement tests, IQ tests, functional skills, etc.):

Assessment Type	Examples:
Criterion-referenced or diagnostic assessments	Brigance, DRA-2
Norm-referenced achievement tests	WRMT-2/NU, Key Math 3, KTEA-2, PIAT-2/NU,
	WIAT-2, WJ-3/NU, DAB-3, OWLS, GORT-4, TERA-3, TEMA-3, TOWL-4, TOLD:P-4, TOLD:I-4, TSW-4, CASL, CELF-4
IQ tests	WISC-4, WAIS-4, KABC-2, KAIT-2, CTONI-2, KBIT-2, WASI
Adaptive/functional behavior scales	Adaptive Behavior Evaluation Scale-2, Adaptive Behavior Inventory, AAMR Adaptive Behavior Scale-School, Vineland Adaptive Behavior Scales-2

#### If doing RTI:

16. Summary of Response to Intervention Data:

## If doing S&W:

#### 17. Charting Patterns of Strengths and Weaknesses

	A sectore is set :		A				
	Academic achieve with respect to gra		Academic achievement	Classroom pe	rformance with r	espect to	Age- appropriate
	level expectations		with respect to				functional /
		•	age-level	grad	le-level expectat	ions.	intellectual
			expectations.				skills
	Progress		Norm-referenced	Curriculum	GradesTeache	r Classroom	Observation,
	monitoring, CBM		achievement	assessments	report	observation	interviews, IQ
	screening or		tests	assessments	report	003011011	assessment
	criterion-		10010				
	referenced						
	assessments						
Basic	S N W	SN W	S N W	S N W	s n w s n w	S N W	SNW
Reading							
Reading	S N W	SN W	S N W	S N W	s n ws n w	S N W	]
Fluency							
Reading	SNW	SN W	SNW	SNW	s n ws n w	SNW	
Comp.							]
Math	S N W	SN W	SNW	SNW	s n ws n w	S N W	
Calc.							
Math	S N W	S N	S N W	S N W	S N W S N W	SNW	11
Prob.		W					
Solving							]]
Written	S N W	SN W	S N W	SNW	s n ws n w	S N W	
Express.							
Oral	SNW	SN W	S N W	SNW	s n ws n w	S N W	
Express.							
Listening	S N W	SN W	SNW	S N W	s n w s n w	S N W	]
Comp.							

S = Strength

N = Neither Strength/Weakness

W = Weakness

Area(s) of Strength (at least 3 £qchecks for each area):

Area(s) of Weakness (at least 4 ±Wqchecks for each area, including at least 1 individually administered academic achievement assessment):

#### 18. Summary of Strengths and Weaknesses Data:

19. Exclusionary factors (address the following factors and state if any underachievement is primarily due or not primarily due to any of these):

Visual, hearing or motor disability Mental retardation Emotional disturbance Cultural factors Environmental or economic disadvantage Limited English proficiency Poor attendance

### 20. Observation data and the relationship of observed behavior to the student's academic functioning:

## 21. Summary (address the following items):

- 1. Is there underachievement, and if there is, were adequate learning experiences and instruction appropriate for age and grade level standards provided?
- 2. If using Rtl, was there a slow rate of progress (or no progress) to the scientific, research-based intervention?
- 3. If using Patterns of S&W, was there a pattern of strengths and weaknesses in performance, achievement, or both relevant to determination of SLD?
- 4. If there is underachievement, does it have an adverse impact to the point that the student needs special education in order to benefit from education?

RE-EVALUATION REPORT			Report Date:
Student:			Prepared By:
School:			Birthdate:
Grade: Eligibility:	Si	ubsect	ions:
Current Evaluation Data:			
Reading Data Summary: (attach any data grap	ohs, charts	s, repor	ts)
Math Data Summary: (attach any data graphs, ch	narts, repo	rts)	
Written Expression Data Summary: (attach an	v data ora	phs. ch	arts, reports)
	<i>j</i> <u>j</u>	,	
Behavior Data Summary: (attach any data graph	s charts	renorte	3)
Bonavior Bata Caninary: (attach any data graph	13, 0110113,	report	.,
Modical Data Summary			
Medical Data Summary:			
I			
Parent /Student Notification and Input			
I			
Teacher Input:			
Strengths:			
Areas in Need of Improvement:			
Strategies/Programs used:			
Observation:			

Exclusio	onary Factors:
	$\Box$ NA (if checked)

Other:		
	RE-EVALUATION REPORT WITH EXAMPLES	Report Date:
Student:		Prepared By:
School:		Birthdate:
Grade:	Eligibility:	Subsections:

Current Evaluation Data:	

	ch any data graphs, charts, reports)
	benchmark data (DIBELS, AIMSweb), curriculum-based ced assessment data, achievement test results, classroom data.
Math Data Summary: (attach any	
<b>_</b>	benchmark data, curriculum-based measurement data, criterion-
1 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ary: (attach any data graphs, charts, reports)
	benchmark data, curriculum-based measurement data, criterion-
Behavior Data Summary: (attac	h any data graphs, charts, reports)
1 /	n office referrals, suspensions, behavior checklists, classroom (frequency counts, time sampling).
Medical Data Summary:	
Examples: Overall health, medicati	ion, hearing test results, vision test results
Parent /Student Notification and Inpu	
Document notification and summar	ize information gathered from parent and/or student interviews.
1	ize mormation gathered from parent and/or student interviews.
	ize momation gamered nom parent and/or student merviews.
Teacher Input:	ize momaton gamered nom parent and/or student merviews.
Strengths:	
•	
Strengths: Academic, work habits, social be Areas in Need of Improvement:	ehavior.
Strengths: Academic, work habits, social be	ehavior.
Strengths: Academic, work habits, social be Areas in Need of Improvement:	ehavior.

## Observation:

Summarize observation data obtained during instruction in the area of disability.

#### Exclusionary Factors:

□ **NA** (*if checked*) Address any visual, hearing or motor impairment, cognitive impairment, emotional impairment, autism spectrum disorder, or environmental (including attendance), cultural or economic disadvantage.

Other: